Suppressors of a U4 snRNA mutation define a novel U6 snRNP protein with RNA-binding motifs
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U4 and U6 small nuclear RNAs are associated by an extensive base-pairing interaction that must be disrupted and reformed with each round of splicing. U4 mutations within the U4/U6 interaction domain destabilize the complex in vitro and cause a cold-sensitive phenotype in vivo. Restabilization of the U4/U6 helix by dominant (gain-of-function), compensatory mutations in U6 results in wild-type growth. Cold-insensitive growth can also be restored by two classes of recessive (loss-of-function) suppressors: (1) mutations in PRP24, which we show to be a U6-specific binding protein of the RNP–consensus family; and (2) mutations in U6, which lie outside the interaction domain and identify putative PRP24-binding sites. Destabilization of the U4/U6 helix causes the accumulation of a PRP24/U4/U6 complex, which is undetectable in wild-type cells. The loss-of-function suppressor mutations inhibit the binding of PRP24 to U6, and thus presumably promote the release of PRP24 from the PRP24/U4/U6 complex and the reformation of the base-paired U4/U6 snRNP. We propose that the PRP24/U4/U6 complex is normally a highly transient intermediate in the spliceosome cycle and that PRP24 promotes the reannealing of U6 with U4.

[Key Words: Saccharomyces cerevisiae; splicing; snRNP; U6 snRNA; PRP24; RNP motif]

Received January 18, 1991; revised version accepted February 28, 1991.

The removal of intervening sequences from pre-mRNA occurs in a large complex called the spliceosome. Essential components of the spliceosome include five evolutionarily conserved small nuclear RNAs [snRNAs], U1, U2, U4, U5, and U6 (for review, see Sharp 1987; Guthrie and Patterson 1988). U1, U2, and U5 are found in separate small ribonucleoprotein particles [snRNPs], each containing a set of common proteins [known as Sm proteins] and a number of snRNP-specific proteins (for review, see Lührmann 1988). In contrast, U4 and U6 are found base-paired together within a single particle [Bringmann et al. 1984; Hashimoto and Steitz 1984].

The formation of the spliceosome is a highly ordered process that involves the stepwise assembly of the snRNPs onto the pre-mRNA. Following binding of U1 and U2 snRNPs to the 5' splice site and branchpoint regions, respectively [Mount et al. 1983; Black et al. 1985], the U4/U6 snRNP is incorporated into the spliceosome, probably in association with the U5 snRNP [Pikielny et al. 1986; Bindereif and Green 1987; Cheng and Abelson 1987; Konarska and Sharp 1987]. Subsequently, the U4/U6 snRNP undergoes a dramatic conformational change, such that U4 is no longer associated with the spliceosome upon electrophoresis in nondenaturing conditions [Pikielny et al. 1986; Cheng and Abelson 1987; Lamond et al. 1988]. This conformational rearrangement is accompanied by the appearance of splicing intermediates and products. The temporal correlation between these events suggests that destabilization of the U4/U6 interaction may be involved in the catalytic activation of the spliceosome [Brow and Guthrie 1989]. Once splicing has occurred, the U4/U6 interaction must presumably be reformed prior to the next round of splicing. Thus, the spliceosome cycle appears to involve the dynamic interconversion between the base-paired and the destabilized forms of the U4/U6 snRNP.

It has been proposed on phylogenetic grounds [Brow and Guthrie 1988] that U4 and U6 interact via base-pairing to form two intermolecular helices [stem I and stem II], which are separated by an intramolecular stem in U4 [see Fig. 1, below]. The existence of stem I has been established by psoralen cross-linking experiments [Rinke et al. 1985]. In vitro reconstitution studies have shown that both stem I and stem II are required for the formation of the U4/U6 snRNP [Hamm and Mattaj 1989; Pikielny et al. 1989; Bindereif et al. 1990; Vankan et al. 1990]. The extensive base-pairing interaction between U4 and U6 is consistent with the observation that the U4/U6 snRNP is very stable in vitro [Tₘ ~53°C] [Brow and Guthrie 1988]; this has prompted speculation that an ATP-dependent RNA helicase may mediate the unwinding event. Because the U4/U6 helix is energetically favorable compared to the unwound state, an RNA-binding protein may additionally be required to stabilize U4 and/or U6. Finally, in that the reformation of hydrogen bonds between the complementary bases in U4 and U6...
requires the two RNAs to be closely and specifically aligned, the reannealing event may also be an active process. However, there is as yet little information about the role of such putative factors in splicing.

Here, we describe a genetic approach to identify trans-acting factors required for the destabilization and/or re-stabilization of the U4/U6 complex during the spliceosome cycle. Our strategy involved (1) the generation of mutations in U4 that destabilize the U4/U6 complex in vitro and cause conditional lethal phenotypes in vivo, and (2) the isolation of extragenic suppressor mutations that restore wild-type growth. This has led us to identify a new member of the RNP-consensus family of RNA-binding proteins (Bandziulis et al. 1989; Query et al. 1989), PRP24. We show that PRP24 is a novel U6-snRNP protein and that the growth defect resulting from the destabilization of U4/U6 is suppressed by mutations in the RNP-consensus motifs of PRP24 and by mutations in putative-binding sites for this protein in U6. The genetic and biochemical properties of these mutants suggest that PRP24, shown previously to be an essential splicing factor (Vijayraghavan et al. 1989), mediates the reformation of the U4/U6 snRNP. Another member of the RNP-consensus family, hnRNP A1, has recently been reported to promote RNA annealing in vitro (Pontius and Berg 1990).

Results

U4 mutations that destabilize the U4/U6 interaction cause a cold-sensitive phenotype

In initial experiments we used a genetic approach to identify nucleotides required for the stability of the U4/U6 complex in vivo. In yeast, U4 and U6 are encoded by single-copy genes that are essential for growth (Siliciano et al. 1987; Brow and Guthrie 1988). We used site-directed mutagenesis to make each of the single-base changes in U4 at positions 14, 13, 10, and 9 from the 5' end of U4 (Fig. 1). The mutations were introduced into a strain carrying a deletion of the gene encoding U4 (SNR14) using the plasmid shuffle technique (Boeke et al. 1987). Strains containing both wild-type and mutant U4 alleles showed no growth defect, indicating that the mutations are recessive (data not shown). However, most of the strains containing only the mutant alleles showed a cold-sensitive phenotype (Table 1). The snr14-G14C mutant, which contains a G→C change at position 14, had the most dramatic cold-sensitive phenotype; it failed to grow at 18°C, 22°C, or 25°C but grew well at 30°C and 37°C. The secondary structure model predicts that this mutation would disrupt a stack of three G:C base pairs between U4 and U6. The snr14-C10T mutant, which contains the C→T change at position 10, was the

Figure 1. Secondary structure of the yeast U4/U6 complex, as proposed by Brow and Guthrie (1988). The position of the snr14 and snr6 mutations generated by site-directed mutagenesis are indicated in boldface type. The position and sequence of the spontaneous recessive suppressor mutations in U6 are indicated with arrows.
Novel U6 snRNP protein

Table 1. Phenotype of snr14 mutants

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>snr14 strain</th>
<th>Temperature (°C)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wild-type</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G14A</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G14C</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G14T</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G13A</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G13C</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G13T</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C10A</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C10G</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C10T</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G9A</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G9C</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G9T</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Two classes of mutations in U6 suppress the cold-sensitive phenotype of the snr14-G14C mutation

The finding that mutations that destabilize the U4/U6 base-pairing interaction cause a cold-sensitive phenotype was unexpected, because cold sensitivity is generally thought to reflect the hyperstabilization of a complex. One possible explanation is that the U4/U6 complex is in equilibrium with an alternative, competing complex; mutations that destabilize the U4/U6 complex would drive this equilibrium toward the formation of the alternative complex. If true, we would expect mutations that destabilize the competing complex to suppress the cold-sensitive phenotype of the U4 mutant strains. To pursue this possibility, we isolated five spontaneous independent revertants that grew at 18°C. Each revertant

only mutant strain of the 12 tested that did not show a cold-sensitive phenotype. This mutation would allow the formation of a G:U base pair, which would presumably maintain a stable U4/U6 interaction.

To test whether these mutations in fact destabilize the U4/U6 complex, we prepared snRNP-enriched fractions from several mutant strains and determined the \( T_m \) (temperature at which 50% dissociation is observed) of the U4/U6 complex in these fractions after treatment with proteinase-K and SDS [Fig. 2]. The \( T_m \) of the U4/U6 complex in the snr14–C10T strain was ~51°C, which is similar to the \( T_m \) of ~53°C measured in wild-type strains [Brow and Guthrie 1988]. The \( T_m \) of U4/U6 in the snr14–G14C strain was ~37°C, indicating that the U4/U6 complex is significantly less stable in this mutant. These data may suggest that destabilizing the U4/U6 complex causes the cold-sensitive phenotype observed in vivo.

To provide more direct evidence for this hypothesis, we used the strategy of making compensatory base-pair changes. Using site-directed mutagenesis, we made each single-base change in U6 at positions 67 and 72; positions 67 and 72 of U6 are predicted to base-pair with positions 14 and 9 of U4, respectively [Fig. 1]. We introduced the U6 mutant alleles into strains containing the U4 mutations and looked for suppression of the cold-sensitive phenotype [Fig. 3]. Each of these strains also contained a wild-type copy of the gene encoding U6 (SNR6). Strains carrying mutant U6 alleles in combination with wild-type U4 alleles showed no growth defect, indicating that the U6 mutations did not have a dominant negative effect. Transforming the U4 mutant strains with the wild-type U6 allele or the predicted non-compensatory U6 alleles did not alleviate the cold-sensitive growth defect. However, when we restored the potential for base-pairing between U4 and U6 by introducing the compensatory U6 alleles, wild-type growth was restored. These results demonstrate that U4 (positions 14 and 9) and U6 (positions 67 and 72, respectively) interact via Watson–Crick base-pairing. Moreover, these results argue that the cold-sensitive phenotype is due to the disruption of base-pairing between U4/U6.

Figure 2. \( T_m \) determinations of the U4/U6 complex in snr14 mutant strains. Aliquots of proteinase-K/SDS-treated fraction I prepared from a wild-type strain (MATa trp1 his3 ura3 ade2 lys2 snr14::TRP1 pUN90–SNR14) [A], the snr14–G14C strain (MATa trp1 his3 ura3 ade2 lys2 snr14::TRP11 pUN90–snr14–G14C) [B], or the snr14–C10T strain (MATa trp1 his3 ura3 ade2 lys2 snr14::TRP1 pUN90–snr14–C10T) [C] were incubated at the temperatures indicated for 5 min and then subjected to electrophoresis on a native gel. RNA was transferred to a nylon membrane and probed with a \(^32\)P-labeled oligonucleotide specific for U4. The bands corresponding to free U4 and its complex with U6 are indicated with arrows.
Figure 3. Growth of snr14 snr6 double mutants. snr14 mutant strains [MATa trp1 his3 uro3 ade2 lys2 SNR6 snr14::TRP1 pUN90–snr14] were generated as described in Materials and methods. YCp50 plasmids carrying the snr6 mutations were introduced into these strains by transformation. Transformants were selected, plated onto SD medium lacking histidine and uracil, and incubated at the temperatures indicated. Strains were scored for growth (+) or no growth (−).

To determine whether these suppressors act by reestablishing the U4/U6 complex, we determined the $T_m$ of the U4/U6 complex in proteinase-K/SDS-treated fractions prepared from several strains. As expected, the $T_m$ of the U4/U6 complex in the dominant suppressor containing the compensatory change in U6 (SNR6–C67G) was $\sim$53°C (Fig. 4A), the same as that measured in the wild-type strain. In contrast, the $T_m$ of the complex in one of the recessive suppressor strains (snr6–A91G) was $\sim$37°C (Fig. 4B), the same as that measured in the snr14–G14C strain. Thus, it appears there are at least two mechanisms by which mutations in U6 can suppress the snr14–G14C mutation, one of which does not restore wild-type stability to the U4/U6 complex in vitro.

Mutations in PRP24 suppress the cold-sensitive phenotype of the snr14–G14C mutation

The fact that we isolated recessive suppressor mutations in U6 suggested to us that U6 may be involved in an interaction that competes with the U4/U6 base-pairing interaction. If true, we would expect to recover additional recessive suppressor mutations in genes encoding factors that are associated with U6. Thus, we attempted to isolate suppressors by a second strategy, in which we selected against recessive suppressors in the U6 gene. To accomplish this, an additional copy of the wild-type U6 gene was introduced into the snr14–G14C strain by transformation with a plasmid carrying SNR6 and URA3. We plated these cells at 18°C and picked revertants. Cells that lost the URA3-marked plasmid were

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Temperature (°C)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
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<tr>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
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<td>+</td>
<td></td>
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</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>U4, U6 allele</th>
<th>snr14-G14T, SNR6</th>
<th>snr14-G14T, snr6-C67A</th>
<th>snr14-G14T, snr6-C67G</th>
<th>snr14-G14T, snr6-C67T</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>U4, U6 allele</th>
<th>snr14-G14T, SNR6</th>
<th>snr14-G14T, snr6-C67A</th>
<th>snr14-G14T, snr6-C67G</th>
<th>snr14-G14T, snr6-C67T</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
then selected by plating the cells on 5-fluoro-orotic acid (5-FOA). Cells that could not grow at 18°C on 5-FOA presumably contain a dominant suppressor mutation in a gene carried by the plasmid and were discarded. Using this scheme, we recovered 14 independent revertants. Each revertant was crossed to a parent strain of the opposite mating type to generate diploids homozygous for this scheme, we recovered 14 independent revertants. Each revertant was crossed to a parent strain of the opposite mating type to generate diploids homozygous for suppressor mutations were either dominant or intragenic. Two of the recessive revertants grew at all temperatures tested. The third recessive revertant showed a recessive temperature-sensitive growth defect, these haploid cells grew at 18°C and 25°C but failed to grow at 30°C and 37°C. The diploid strains generated from the recessive revertants were sporulated. In each case, tetrad analysis showed that the cold-resistant phenotype segregated 2 : 2, indicating that each suppressor is caused by a mutation in a single nuclear gene. Tetrad analysis of the diploid strain generated from the temperature-sensitive revertant showed that the suppressor phenotype cosegregated with the temperature-sensitive growth defect, indicating that a single mutation caused both phenotypes. Standard complementation and allelism tests showed that all three suppressors were due to mutations in the same gene.

In an attempt to identify the suppressor gene, we took advantage of the temperature-sensitive suppressor allele and performed complementation analysis with a set of temperature-sensitive mutants defective in pre-mRNA splicing (Hartwell 1967; Vijayraghavan et al. 1989). This analysis demonstrated that the suppressors were mutations in PRP24. The prp24-1 allele was originally identified as a temperature-sensitive mutation that accumulates pre-mRNA at the nonpermissive temperature (Vijayraghavan et al. 1989). We cloned the wild-type PRP24 gene on the basis of its ability to complement the temperature-sensitive growth defect of the U4 suppressor. This temperature-sensitive strain was transformed with a yeast genomic library constructed in a centromere plasmid (Rose 1987) and plated on selective medium at 37°C. Two related plasmids containing 19- to 20-kb inserts were recovered. We isolated a 3.5-kb BglI fragment that conferred temperature resistance. We partially sequenced this clone and found an open reading frame that contained sequence motifs conserved among RNA-binding proteins of the RNP–consensus family (Fig. 5) (Bandziulis et al. 1989; Query et al. 1989). Mahshid Company and John Abelson have independently cloned and sequenced this 3.5-kb genomic fragment and found a single open reading frame that can encode a 51-kD protein (pers. comm.). We examined this sequence and found that PRP24 contains three tandem regions homologous to the -80 amino acid RNP–consensus domain.

Changes in the RNP–consensus domain of PRP24 are necessary for suppression

As a first step toward understanding how prp24 mutations act to suppress the snr14–G14C mutation, we cloned and partially sequenced the prp24 gene from each of the suppressors containing the prp24 alleles. We used the gap repair method (Orr-Weaver et al. 1981) to isolate the mutant prp24 genes. A yeast centromere plasmid carrying the wild-type PRP24 gene was linearized at an internal ClaI site and introduced into each of the three suppressors. Transformants were selected and screened for their ability to grow at 18°C. Approximately 80% of the transformants grew at 18°C, suggesting that the plasmid-linked allele of PRP24 was efficiently repaired to the mutant allele. In each case, we recovered the plasmids from three independent 18°C+ transformants and sequenced ~275 nucleotides on either side of the ClaI site. We compared these sequences to the wild-type PRP24 sequence and found single nucleotide changes in regions corresponding to highly conserved elements within the RNP–consensus domain (Fig. 5). Two of the suppressors (prp24-2 and prp24-3) contained a mutation in the conserved element, called RNP 1. This 8-amino-acid motif is the region of highest conservation within the ~80-amino-acid RNP–consensus domain.
polyclonal antiserum. We cannot detect PRP24 in yeast nase promoter (Schena et al. 1991) (data not shown). This under the control of the glucose-6-phosphate dehydroge-

We also immunoprecipitated PRP24 from extracts prepared from various mutant strains (Fig. 6B). Surprisingly, anti-PRP24 antiserum immunoprecipitated both U4 and U6 from extracts prepared from the strain containing the snr14→G14C mutation (lane 2). The association of PRP24 with U4 and U6 in this mutant extract was stable at salt concentrations up to 2.0 M, with anti-

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Domain</th>
<th>RNP 2</th>
<th>RNP 1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PRP24</td>
<td>TDSATLEGNE</td>
<td>IMRRHF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>prp24-2</td>
<td>. . .</td>
<td>. . .</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>prp24-3</td>
<td>. . .</td>
<td>. . .</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>prp24-4</td>
<td>. . .</td>
<td>. . .</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| PABP Human | DRITRYQVNH | YYKFLG | KGFGVCVF |
| hnRNP A1 Fly | PNAGA-TVRK | LFVGAL | GGDFVF |
| U1A Human | AVPEFPRNHT | IYNNHL | QGAFIFVP |
| U1 70K Human | PNAQDAFKYT | LFVARV | KVRMISQAGK |
| elav Fly | LASGPGGAYP | IFIYNL | TNYDEAMAIR |

**Figure 5.** Sequence of PRP24 in suppressor strains. Amino acid sequences of PRP24 (amino acids 202–288) in a wild-type strain and the suppressor strains containing the prp24-2, prp24-3, and prp24-4 mutations are aligned with sequences containing the RNP-consensus domain: human polyadenylate-binding protein (PABP) domain 4 (Grange et al. 1987), Drosophila hnRNP A1 domain 2 (Haynes et al. 1987), human U1 A (Sillekens et al. 1987), human U1 70K (Theissen et al. 1986), and Drosophila elav domain 3 (Robinov et al. 1988). Residues of PRP24 that are identical to PRP24 from the wild-type strain are indicated by dots. Gaps introduced to maximize homology are marked by hyphens. Sequence elements RNP 2 and RNP 1 are boxed. RNP-consensus sequences were identified previously by Bandziulis et al. (1989).

[Bandziulis et al. 1989]. The temperature-sensitive suppressor [prp24-4] contains a mutation in a region called RNP 2 that changes a highly conserved leucine residue to a proline. Previous work has shown that mutations in RNP 2 or RNP 1 block the binding of the snRNP protein U1A to U1 snRNA in vitro (Scherly et al. 1989).

U6 snRNA coimmunoprecipitates with the PRP24 protein in wild-type extracts

The genetic analysis demonstrates that mutations that destabilize the U4/U6 complex can be suppressed by single-nucleotide changes in U6 and single-amino-acid changes in regions of PRP24 that correspond to putative RNA-binding sites. A parsimonious explanation for these observations is that the U4/U6 complex is in equilibrium with a complex containing PRP24 and U6. To test this prediction directly we generated antibodies to PRP24. We used the cloned PRP24 gene to construct a trpE/PRP24 fusion, which contained ~85% of the PRP24 open reading frame. This gene fusion was expressed in Escherichia coli, and the fusion protein was gel-purified from insoluble fractions of E. coli lysate. The purified fusion protein was used to immunize rabbits to generate anti-PRP24 antiserum recognized an ~45-kD protein in extracts prepared from strains that overexpress PRP24 under the control of the glucose-6-phosphate dehydrogenase promoter (Schena et al. 1991) (data not shown). This is not inconsistent with the molecular mass of 51 kD predicted from the nucleotide sequence of PRP24.

We immunoprecipitated PRP24 from splicing extracts prepared from the wild-type strain at various ionic strengths. Coprecipitated RNA species were visualized by Northern blot analysis using labeled oligonucleotide probes (Fig. 6A). Anti-PRP24 antiserum immunoprecipitated U6 at salt concentrations up to 2.0 M, the highest salt concentration tested. Antibodies affinity-purified using the trpE/PRP24 fusion protein also immunoprecipitated U6 (data not shown). U1, U2 (data not shown), U4, and the two forms of yeast U5 were not detectably immunoprecipitated at salt concentrations above 250 mM.

Under the less stringent conditions (100 and 250 mM), immunoprecipitation of snRNAs was not dependent on the addition of anti-PRP24 antiserum (data not shown). We reproducibly found that the immunoprecipitability of U6 increased with increasing salt concentrations up to 750 mM. Although this observation may be unexpected, it is not unprecedented; the binding of several hnRNP proteins to poly(G) or poly(U) is more efficient at 0.5 M salt than at 0.1 M NaCl (Swanson and Dreyfuss 1988). The higher salt concentrations may expose epitopes on PRP24 that are masked at lower ionic strengths. The addition of ATP to the splicing extract prior to addition of anti-PRP24 antiserum did not affect the amount of U6 immunoprecipitated nor change the pattern of snRNAs immunoprecipitated (data not shown).

We also immunoprecipitated PRP24 from splicing extracts prepared from various mutant strains (Fig. 6B). Surprisingly, anti-PRP24 antiserum immunoprecipitated both U4 and U6 from extracts prepared from the strain containing the snr14→G14C mutation (lane 2). The association of PRP24 with U4 and U6 in this mutant extract was stable at salt concentrations up to 2.0 M, with anti-
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U4 and U6 are tightly associated via an extensive base-pairing interaction composed of two intermolecular helices, stem I and stem II. Base-pairing, but not specific sequences, is required at two positions in U4/U6 stem II (Bordonn6 et al. 1990). Native gel electrophoresis (Konarska and Sharp 1987) and immunoprecipitation experiments (Bordonn6 et al. 1990) have demonstrated that the particles separated on glycerol gradients represent bona fide associations and not fortuitous comigrations. To determine whether PRP24 is associated with all U6-containing complexes or with specific U6-containing complexes, we fractionated wild-type yeast splicing extract on glycerol gradients, immunoprecipitated PRP24 from gradient fractions, and analyzed the coprecipitated RNA on Northern blots. A Northern blot of RNA isolated from gradient fractions showed that the gradient separated U4/U5/U6 [fractions 29–25], U4/U6 [fractions 19–15], and free U6 snRNP [fractions 13–9] (Fig. 7A). Anti-PRP24 antiserum immunoprecipitated U6 only from the region of the gradient containing free U6 snRNP [fractions 14–10] (Fig. 7B). We think it is unlikely that PRP24 is inaccessible for the binding of anti-PRP24 antibodies in the larger particles because the immunoprecipitation experiments were performed under conditions [750 mM NaCl] that disrupt the HeLa U4/U6 and U4/U5/U6 particles in vitro (R. Lührmann, pers. comm.). These observations suggest that PRP24 is associated specifically with free U6 snRNP in wild-type extracts. However, we cannot rule out the possibility that PRP24 also binds the U4/U6 helix, but it dissociates under the conditions necessary for immunoprecipitation.

We repeated these experiments with extract prepared from the snr14–G14C strain. The Northern blot of RNA isolated from the gradient fractions showed that the extracts prepared from the snr14–G14C strain contain significantly more U4/U6 snRNP [fractions 19–13] and significantly less free U6 snRNP [fractions 11–9] than the wild-type extracts (Fig. 7C). However, Northern blot analysis also showed that total RNA from this extract contained approximately fivefold more U4 than extracts prepared from the wild-type strain (data not shown). Thus, it appears that the excess U4 present in the snr14–G14C strain can sequester the excess U6 normally found in wild-type strains into a U4/U6 snRNP. Anti-PRP24 antiserum immunoprecipitated U4 and U6 from the region of the gradient containing the U4/U6 snRNP [fractions 18–14] and free U6 snRNP [fractions 12–10]. Thus, in contrast to wild-type strains, the snr14–G14C strain contains a U4/U6 snRNP population that is tightly associated with PRP24.

**Discussion**

Base-pairing, but not specific sequences, is required at two positions in U4/U6 stem II

We proposed previously that U4 and U6 are tightly associated via an extensive base-pairing interaction composed of two intermolecular helices, stem I and stem II (Brow and Guthrie 1988). The stem I interaction was with U4 migrates on gradients as a U6 snRNP (Hamm and Mattaj 1989; Bordonn6 et al. 1990). Gradient sedimentation of splicing extracts also resolves two other particles containing U6: U4/U6 and U4/U5/U6 (Black and Pinto 1989; Bordonn6 et al. 1990). Native gel electrophoresis ([Konarska and Sharp 1987] and immunoprecipitation experiments (Bordonn6 et al. 1990) have demonstrated that the particles separated on glycerol gradients represent bona fide associations and not fortuitous comigrations. To determine whether PRP24 is associated with all U6-containing complexes or with specific U6-containing complexes, we fractionated wild-type yeast splicing extract on glycerol gradients, immunoprecipitated PRP24 from gradient fractions, and analyzed the coprecipitated RNA on Northern blots. A Northern blot of RNA isolated from gradient fractions showed that the gradient separated U4/U5/U6 [fractions 29–25], U4/U6 [fractions 19–15], and free U6 snRNP [fractions 13–9] (Fig. 7A). Anti-PRP24 antiserum immunoprecipitated U6 only from the region of the gradient containing free U6 snRNP [fractions 14–10] (Fig. 7B). We think it is unlikely that PRP24 is inaccessible for the binding of anti-PRP24 antibodies in the larger particles because the immunoprecipitation experiments were performed under conditions [750 mM NaCl] that disrupt the HeLa U4/U6 and U4/U5/U6 particles in vitro (R. Lührmann, pers. comm.). These observations suggest that PRP24 is associated specifically with free U6 snRNP in wild-type extracts. However, we cannot rule out the possibility that PRP24 also binds the U4/U6 helix, but it dissociates under the conditions necessary for immunoprecipitation.

We repeated these experiments with extract prepared from the snr14–G14C strain. The Northern blot of RNA isolated from the gradient fractions showed that the extracts prepared from the snr14–G14C strain contain significantly more U4/U6 snRNP [fractions 19–13] and significantly less free U6 snRNP [fractions 11–9] than the wild-type extracts (Fig. 7C). However, Northern blot analysis also showed that total RNA from this extract contained approximately fivefold more U4 than extracts prepared from the wild-type strain (data not shown). Thus, it appears that the excess U4 present in the snr14–G14C strain can sequester the excess U6 normally found in wild-type strains into a U4/U6 snRNP. Anti-PRP24 antiserum immunoprecipitated U4 and U6 from the region of the gradient containing the U4/U6 snRNP [fractions 18–14] and free U6 snRNP [fractions 12–10]. Thus, in contrast to wild-type strains, the snr14–G14C strain contains a U4/U6 snRNP population that is tightly associated with PRP24.
Fraction

Figure 7. Immunoprecipitation of snRNPs with anti-PRP24 antiserum. Splicing extract prepared from a wild-type strain [MATa trpl his3 ura3 ade2 lys2 snr14::TRP1 pUN90--SNR14] (A and B) or the snr14--G14C mutant [MATa trpl his3 ura3 ade2 lys2 snr14::TRP1 pUN90--snr14--G14C] (C and D) was fractionated on a 10-30% glycerol gradient. RNA was recovered from odd-numbered fractions, electrophoresed on a 6% polyacrylamide denaturing gel, transferred to a nylon membrane, and probed with 32p-labeled oligonucleotides specific for U4, U5, and U6 (A and C). Even-numbered fractions were incubated with anti-PRP24 antiserum at 750 mM NaCl. RNA recovered from the immune complexes was analyzed as described above (B and D). The top of the gradient is at the right. The position of the bands corresponding to U4, U5, and U6 are indicated with arrows. The fraction numbers are indicated at the top, and the position of the different snRNPs are shown at the bottom.

previously established by psoralen cross-linking (Rinke et al. 1985). We have now provided genetic evidence for the existence of stem II. A U4 point mutation, snr14--G14C, which is predicted to disrupt base-pairing in stem II of the interaction domain, dramatically destabilizes the U4/U6 complex in an in vitro assay, lowering the Tm from 53°C to 37°C. This mutation and several nearby mutations in U4 (positions 14, 13, 10, and 9) cause a recessive, cold-sensitive lethal phenotype in vivo. Wild-type growth of strains carrying U4 mutations at positions 9 and 14 in stem II is restored by introducing U6 genes containing mutations predicted to restore base-pairing with the U4 snRNA. This suppression is allele-specific because U6 genes containing noncompensatory mutations had no effect. These results demonstrate that a stable base-pairing interaction between U4 and U6 is essential for growth at low temperature.

A suppressor of the base-pairing defect encodes a U6-binding protein

We took advantage of the conditional lethal phenotype of the U4 mutations to understand the consequences of destabilizing the U4/U6 base-pairing interaction. We reasoned that destabilization of this complex might lead to the hyperstabilization of a competing interaction. In principle, the cold-sensitive phenotype could then be suppressed either by increasing the stability of the mutant U4/U6 complex or by decreasing the strength of the alternative interaction. We isolated spontaneous cold-insensitive suppressors of the G→C mutation in U4 (snr14--G14C), which fell into three classes: (1) a dominant mutation in the U6 gene, SNR6, which restores U4/U6 base-pairing and U4/U6 complex stability; (2) recessive mutations in SNR6, which are found in two regions outside the interaction domain and do not restabilize the U4/U6 complex; and (3) recessive mutations in PRP24. PRP24 was initially identified in a screen for temperature-sensitive lethal mutations that block pre-mRNA splicing (Vijayraghavan et al. 1989). The prp24-1 mutant accumulates pre-mRNA at the nonpermissive temperature, suggesting that PRP24 acts prior to the first covalent modification in the splicing reaction.

We cloned and partially sequenced the PRP24 gene and noted sequence motifs characteristic of RNA-binding proteins of the RNP-consensus family. The gene was independently cloned by Mahshid Company and John Abelson, who provided us with the complete sequence. Upon examination, we identified three regions homologous to the RNP-consensus domain. This ~80-amino-acid motif is shared among a number of proteins that bind RNA, including polyadenylate-binding protein, het-
A complex containing PRP24, U4, and U6 with a specific subset of the free U6 snRNP. In contrast, distinguish whether PRP24 is a component of the entire free from the U4/U6 and U5 snRNPs appears to be an obli-

tation of U6 not normally recruited for multi-snRNP as-

sociated with specific U snRNPs [for review, see Band-

ziulis et al. 1989]. We used immunoprecipitation exper-
iments to show that PRP24 is tightly associated with the U6 snRNA in wild-type extracts. PRP24 remains bound to U6 at salt concentrations as high as 2 M NaCl. For comparison, yeast poly[A]-binding protein binds poly[A] in vitro up to 1 M NaCl, and hnRNP A1 binds poly(U) in vitro up to 0.5 M NaCl (Swanson and Dreyfuss 1988). Although these experiments do not prove that PRP24 interacts directly with the RNA, analysis of the recessive suppressors demonstrated that the association of PRP24 with U6 is weakened by either single-base changes in U6 or by single-amino-acid changes in regions of PRP24 cor-

responding to the RNP 2 and RNP 1 motifs of the car-

boxy-terminal RNP-consensus domain. RNP 2 and RNP 1 are the two most highly conserved elements within the RNP-consensus domain and are essential for RNA binding in vitro. UV cross-linking experiments have shown that residues of RNP 2 and RNP 1 in hnRNP A1 directly contact the bound nucleic acid (Merrill et al. 1988). Furthermore, mutations in RNP 2 and RNP 1 in the U1A protein block binding to U1 snRNA in vitro (Scherly et al. 1989). Together, these results suggest that PRP24 di-

rectly binds the U6 snRNA and that the regions of PRP24 and U6 defined by the recessive suppressor muta-

tions may be good candidates for binding sites.

**A complex containing PRP24, U4, and U6 accumulates when the U4/U6 interaction is destabilized**

U6 can be found in three particles: free U6, U4/U6, and U4/US/U6. The formation of the U4/US/U6 snRNP from the U4/U6 and U5 snRNPs appears to be an obl-

gate step in vivo (Bordonné et al. 1990). This multi-

snRNP may function to deliver the U4, U5, and U6 snRNAs to the spliceosome (Konarska and Sharp 1987).

The function of the free U6 snRNP is not known. Free U6 snRNP probably acts as a pool of U6 for the assembly of the U4/U6 and U4/US/U6 snRNPs although it is also possible that free U6 snRNP represents a distinct population of U6 not normally recruited for multi-snRNP as-

bly.

In wild-type extracts, PRP24 is tightly associated with U6 in fractions enriched for the free U6 snRNP but is not detectable in fractions enriched for the U4/U6 snRNP or the U4/US/U6 snRNP. Our experiments cannot distinguish whether PRP24 is a component of the entire free U6 snRNP population or whether PRP24 is associated with a specific subset of the free U6 snRNP. In contrast, both U4 and U6 are tightly associated with PRP24 in a strain containing the snr14-G14C mutation, which de-

stabilizes the U4/U6 base-pairing interaction. Because U4 is overproduced approximately fivefold in the snr14-

G14C mutant, the levels of U4 and U6 are comparable to one another in this strain. Glycerol gradient fraction-

ation of extracts prepared from the snr14-G14C strain showed that most of the U6 is found in a particle that migrates as a U4/U6 snRNP. However, unlike the U4/

U6 snRNP present in the wild-type strain, at least a fraction of the U4/U6 snRNP found in the snr14-G14C mu-

tant contains PRP24. These results suggest that PRP24, U4, and U6 can form a stable complex in the snr14-

G14C mutant. The accumulation of the PRP24/U4/U6 complex is apparently caused by destabilizing the U4/U6 interaction, since PRP24 bound only U6 in a dominant suppressor strain containing the snr14-G14C mutation and the U6 mutation that restores U4/U6 base pairing.

**A model for PRP24-mediated suppression**

We have shown that destabilizing the U4/U6 base-pair-

ing interaction leads to a cold-sensitive phenotype and the accumulation of a novel complex containing PRP24, U4, and U6. In the simplest view, the inability to grow at low temperature is causally related to the accumulation of the PRP24/U4/U6 complex. This is consistent with the idea that cold sensitivity is due to the hyperstabil-

ization of a complex. We have not yet tested whether the accumulation of the PRP24/U4/U6 complex is exacer-

bated in extracts prepared from snr14-G14C cells shifted to 18°C; however, this kind of experiment is complicated by the fact that splicing extracts are prepared at tempera-

tures well below the permissive temperature for the growth of this mutant strain. If the accumulation of the PRP24/U4/U6 complex causes the cold-sensitive pheno-

type, then mutations that reduce the levels of this com-

plex would be expected to act as suppressors. Indeed, the two classes of recessive suppressors appear to inhibit the binding of PRP24 to U6. This leads us to propose that a reaction exists that interconverts the PRP24/U4/U6 complex and the U4/U6 complex. Mutations that desta-

bilize the U4/U6 complex drive the reaction toward the formation of the PRP24/U4/U6 complex. The recessive suppressors compensate by reducing the affinity of PRP24 for U6, either by partially inactivating the bind-

ing activity of the protein or the binding site(s) on the RNA. Although we cannot yet rule out the possibility that the PRP24/U4/U6 complex is aberrant and unique to the snr14-G14C mutant, we favor the interpretation that the PRP24/U4/U6 complex is a normal intermedi-

ate in the U4/U6 cycle.

A model that integrates this reaction into the splice-

some assembly pathway is outlined in Figure 8. Accord-

ing to this model, the PRP24/U4/U6 complex is nor-

mally a transient intermediate in the annealing of the U4 and U6 snRNAs. After PRP24/U6 binds U4, PRP24 dis-

sociates from the PRP24/U4/U6 complex concomitant
with the formation of the U4/U6 helix. This reaction may be involved in the initial assembly of the U4/U6 snRNP during snRNP biogenesis and/or in the reassembly of the U4/U6 complex after the U4/U6 interaction is disrupted in the formation of the active spliceosome. In either case, the accumulation of the PRP24/U4/U6 complex in the cold-sensitive mutants would act to sequester U4 and U6 so that the U4/U6 complex cannot efficiently form for the next round of splicing. This model is consistent with our data showing that mutations that destabilize the U4/U6 base-pairing interaction lead to the accumulation of the PRP24/U4/U6 complex. The recessive suppressor mutations destabilize the binding of PRP24 to U6 and thus promote the release of PRP24 from the PRP24/U4/U6 complex to allow the formation of the base-paired U4/U6 complex. This model predicts that the U4/U6 base-pairing interaction is partially or completely disrupted in the PRP24/U4/U6 complex. Intriguingly, Brow and Guthrie (1988) found two forms of the U4/U6 complex in HeLa cell nuclear extracts: a high- Tm form, with a melting temperature similar to that of the yeast U4/U6 complex, and a low-Tm form. It is possible that the PRP24/U4/U6 complex we identified in the U4 mutant strain may represent the low-Tm form of the U4/U6 complex identified previously in human cells. Biochemical analysis of the U4/U6 interaction in the PRP24/U4/U6 complex is currently under way to test this hypothesis.

Although our data indicate a role for PRP24 in the annealing of U4 and U6, PRP24 may play additional roles in the U4/U6 cycle. For example, once the U4/U6 base-pairing interaction is disrupted in the spliceosome, the tight binding of PRP24 to U6 could act to stabilize the unwound form. Interestingly, another member of the RNP-consensus family, hnRNP A1, can either promote the renaturation of complementary single-stranded nucleic acids (Pontius and Berg 1990) or inhibit annealing by binding preferentially to single strands [Kumar et al. 1986], depending on the assay conditions. In support of this hypothesis, we have recently found that a temperature-sensitive mutation in PRP24 is inviable in combination with a cold-sensitive mutation in PRP28, which encodes a putative ATP-dependent RNA helicase [Strauss and Guthrie 1991]. This synthetic lethality suggests that PRP24 and PRP28 act at a similar point in the splicing pathway. Future experiments to determine whether PRP24 is associated with specific splicing complexes will test the idea that PRP24 is involved in two distinct but related steps of the U4/U6 cycle.

Materials and methods

Site-directed mutagenesis of SNR14 and SNR6

A 0.55-kb EcoRV–EcoRI fragment carrying the SNR14 gene (Siciliano et al. 1987) was cloned into Bluescript (+) (Stratagene). The plasmid pEP6 contains a 1.8-kb EcoRI–PstI fragment carrying the SNR6 gene in pUC118 (Brow and Guthrie 1988). Preparation of single-stranded DNA from dut- ung- cells carrying these plasmids and oligonucleotide-directed mutagenesis were performed, as described (Kunkel et al. 1987; McClary et al. 1989). EcoRI–BamHI fragments carrying the snr14 genes were subcloned into pUN90 [CEN, HIS3] (Elledge and Davis 1988). EcoRI–HpaI fragments carrying the snr6 genes were subcloned into YCp50 [CEN, URA3] (Parent et al. 1985). The sequence of each construct was confirmed by dideoxynucleotide sequencing using Sequenase [U.S. Biochemicals].

Yeast strains and genetic methods

All strains used in this study are derived from Saccharomyces cerevisiae strain YKS2 [MATa trpl his3 ura3 ade2 lys2 snr14::TRPl YCp50–SNR14]. YKS2 is a haploid strain carrying a deletion of the chromosomal copy of the SNR14 gene that is complemented by the wild-type SNR14 gene carried on YCp50 [CEN, URA3]. The preparation of growth media and the techniques used for diploid construction, sporulation, and tetrad dissection have been described (Rose et al. 1989). Intact yeast cells were transformed using lithium acetate [Ito et al. 1983].

The snr14 mutant strains were generated using the plasmid shuffle technique [Boeke et al. 1987]. The strain YKS2 was transformed with the plasmids carrying the snr14 genes. Transformants were selected on SD medium lacking histidine at 30°C and then plated onto SD medium containing 0.75 mg/ml of 5-fluoro-orotic acid (5-FOA) to select for cells that have lost the YCp50–SNR14 plasmid.

Two cold-sensitive haploid strains were used for reversion analysis. The construction of strain snr14–G14C [MATa trpl his3 ura3 ade2 lys2 snr14::TRPl pUN90–snr14–G14C] was described above. This strain was plated on SD medium lacking histidine and incubated at 18°C to select for spontaneous cold-insensitive revertants. Revertants arose at a frequency of 6 × 10−8. The suppressor strains carrying the SNR6–C67G, snr6–T38C, snr6–A40G and snr6–C43G, and snr6–A91G mutations were isolated from this selection. The strain snr14–G14C YCp50–SNR6 was generated by transforming snr14–G14C with YCp50–SNR6, which contains the 1.8-kb EcoRI–PstI fragment carrying the wild-type SNR6 gene. This strain was plated on SD medium lacking histidine and uracil and incubated at 18°C. Revertants arose at a frequency of 4 × 10−8. Before further analysis, these revertants were plated on medium containing 0.75 mg/ml 5-FOA to select for cells that lost the YCp50–SNR6 plasmid. The suppressor strains containing the pp24-2, pp24-3, and pp24-4 mutations were isolated using this scheme. To test dominance or recessiveness, each revertant was crossed to the strain YKS3 [MATa trpl his3 ura3 ade2 lys2 snr14::TRPl YCp50–SNR14] and diploids were selected on SD medium lacking histidine and uracil at 30°C. Diploids were plated on medium containing 0.75 mg/ml 5-FOA to select for cells that lost the YCp50–SNR14 plasmid and then tested for their ability to grow at 18°C. The Ura− diploids were sporulated and tetrads were dissected to analyze Mendelian segregation of the suppressor mutations and to isolate MATa and MATa suppressor strains for complementation analysis.

Melting temperature determinations

Melting temperature of the U4/U6 complex in deproteinized splicing extract fraction 1 (Cheng and Abelson 1986) was determined by the method of Brow and Guthrie (1988).

Isolation and characterization of the snr6 alleles

A yeast genomic library enriched for the U6 gene was prepared from genomic DNA isolated from the suppressor strain containing the snr6–A91G mutation [MATa trpl his3 ura3 ade2 lys2 snr14::TRPl pUN90–snr14–G14C snr14–A91G]. Total geno-
mic DNA was prepared as described [Denis and Young 1983] and digested with EcoRI. Fragments ranging from 3.7 to 2.3 kb were recovered from a 0.7% agarose gel, digested with PstI and ligated into the EcoRI and PstI sites of pUC118. Bacterial colonies transformed with the ligation mixture were plated and transferred onto nitrocellulose [Ish-Horowicz and Burke 1981]. The filters were probed with U6-specific oligonucleotides end-labeled with [γ-32P]ATP. Plasmid DNA isolated from two clones contained a 1.05-kb EcoRI–ClaI fragment characteristic of SNR6. Dideoxynucleotide sequencing of these plasmids revealed a single mutation in the U6-coding region.

Total RNA was prepared from the suppressor strains containing the SNR6–C67G, snr6–T38C, snr6–A410G, and snr6–C43G mutations using the guanidium thiocyanate method [Wise et al. 1983]. RNA sequencing was performed as described [Zaug et al. 1984], by reverse transcription from an end-labeled oligonucleotide primer complementary to nucleotides 96–112 of U6. Most of the U6 sequence (nucleotides 5–76) was determined for each snr6 allele, and a single-nucleotide change was found in each case.

**Isolation and characterization of the prp24 alleles**

The PRP24 gene was cloned on the basis of its ability to rescue the temperature-sensitive cold-resistant phenotype of the suppressor strain containing the prp24-4 mutation [MATα trp1 his3 ura3 ade2 lys2 snr14·TRP1 prp24-4]. This strain was transformed with a yeast genomic library constructed in YCp50 [Rose 1987], and transformants were selected on SD medium lacking histidine and uracil at 37°C. Two transformants were isolated that showed the temperature-resistant cold-sensitive phenotype characteristic of the prp24-4/PRP24 diploid. Each transformant reverted to the temperature-sensitive cold-resistant phenotype of the prp24-4 haploid strain when plated on medium containing 5-FOA. DNA isolated from these transformants was used to transform (Dower et al. 1988) E. coli strain MH5 to ampicillin resistance and uracil prototrophy. Plasmid DNA isolated from these transformants contained the temperature-resistance and cold sensitivity when transformed into the suppressor strain containing the prp24-4 mutation. One of these plasmids was digested with XbaI, and a 3.5-kb fragment was gel-purified and ligated into pUN50 (CEN, URA3) [Ellidge and Davis 1988]. This subclone (YCpXba) was sufficient to complement the temperature-sensitive and cold-resistant phenotype of the suppressor strain containing the prp24-4 mutation. The prp24 suppressor alleles were isolated from the suppressor strains containing the prp24-2, prp24-3, and prp24-4 mutations by the gap repair method [Orr-Weaver et al. 1981] using the YCpXba plasmid linearized with ClaI.

**Production of anti-PRP24 antiserum and immunoprecipitation experiments**

YCpXba was digested with HaeIII and XbaI, and a 1.59-kb fragment that encodes the carboxy-terminal 378 amino acids of PRP24 was gel-purified and ligated into the Smal and XbaI sites of the trpE fusion vector pATH2 [Spindler et al. 1984]. Plasmid DNA was isolated from E. coli strain DG-98 and then used to transform the protease-deficient E. coli strain CAG456 to ampicillin resistance at 30°C. A 25-μl yeast splicing extract (1.0 mg protein) was incubated for 30 min at 25°C in 60 μM potassium phosphate (pH 7.0), 2.5 mM MgCl₂, and 2 mM ATP. The mixture was diluted with buffer A (50 mM Tris–Cl at pH 7.4, 25 mM NaCl, 5 mM MgCl₂) and layered onto 10–30% glycerol gradients. The preparation and analysis of the glycerol gradients have been described [Bordonné et al. 1990].

**Glycerol gradient fractionation**

Yeast splicing extract (1.0 mg protein) was incubated for 30 min at 25°C in 60 μM potassium phosphate (pH 7.0), 2.5 mM MgCl₂, and 2 mM ATP. The mixture was diluted with buffer A (50 mM Tris–Cl at pH 7.4, 25 mM NaCl, 5 mM MgCl₂) and layered onto 10–30% glycerol gradients. The preparation and analysis of the glycerol gradients have been described [Bordonné et al. 1990].
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